EMBARGOED UNTIL TUESDAY, JULY 28, 2020 – 6:00 AM PACIFIC / 9:00 EASTERN

Contact: George Kimbrell, (571) 527-8618, gkimbrell@centerforfoodsafety.org

Lawsuit Challenges "Bioengineered" GMO Food Labeling

Retailers and Advocates Argue Trump Rules Discriminate Against Tens of Millions of Americans, Exempt Most GMO foods, Mislead Consumers, and Restrict Retailer Speech

(San Francisco, Cal.) Today, Center for Food Safety (CFS) filed a lawsuit in federal court against the Trump Administration's Department of Agriculture (USDA) challenging USDA's rules on labeling genetically engineered (GE) or GMO foods, which USDA now calls "bioengineered foods." The final regulations, issued in 2019, include provisions which will leave the majority of GMO derived foods unlabeled; discriminate against tens of millions of Americans; prohibit the use of the widely known terms "GMO" and "GE"; and prohibit retailers from providing more information to consumers. CFS is representing a coalition of food labeling nonprofits and retailers, including the Natural Grocers, operating 157 stores in 20 states, and Puget Consumers Co-op, the nation's largest community-owned food market.

"This case is about ensuring meaningful food labeling, the public's right to know how their food is produced, and retailers' rights to provide it to them," **said George Kimbrell, CFS legal director and counsel in the case.** "The American public successfully won GE food labeling after more than a two-decade fight, but the Trump rules fall far short of what consumers reasonably expect and the law requires."

CFS's lawsuit makes a number of arguments. <u>First</u>, the case challenges USDA's unprecedented allowance of electronic or digital disclosure on packaging, also known as "QR code" or "smartphone" labeling, without requiring additional on-package labeling. USDA allowed this despite Congress requiring the agency to first study whether digital disclosure would provide meaningful information to consumers. In 2018, CFS <u>successfully sued</u> USDA to release the study, and it showed conclusively that <u>QR codes would fail</u>. But in this final rule USDA went ahead with it anyway.

"Requiring a smartphone discriminates against at least 20 percent of the American adult population—primarily poor, elderly, rural, and minority populations—who have lower percentages of smartphone ownership, or live in areas in which grocery stores do not have internet bandwidth," said Caroline Gordon of Rural Vermont, a plaintiff in the case.

Especially during the current COVID-19 pandemic, many Americans are visiting grocery stores less frequently to avoid exposure to the virus and purchasing more items during each visit. Requiring a shopper to scan every single item they purchase would not only place an undue burden on the shopper, but would increase a shopper's exposure risk to a deadly virus.

<u>Second</u>, CFS is challenging USDA's labeling language restrictions. When on-package text *is* used, the rules limit it to only "bioengineered," despite the law allowing use of similar terms. But for 25 years, every aspect of the issue—science, policy, and marketplace—has used genetically engineered (GE) or genetically modified (GMO).

"Retailers and shoppers have relied on the term GMO for more than a decade to identify and avoid GMO foods," **said Mark Squire, co-founder of Good Earth Natural Foods, a plaintiff.** "Banning the use of this term and replacing it with a term nobody has ever heard of is misleading and will create massive confusion in the marketplace."

"At Natural Grocers, we believe in meaningful transparency. This means providing our shoppers with the information they deserve and demand about foods produced with genetic engineering," said Alan Lewis, Vice President Advocacy & Governmental Affairs of Natural Grocers, a plaintiff. "Our rights and those of our customers are damaged by the USDA's unlawful bioengineered labeling rule."

<u>Third</u>, the case challenges USDA's severe restriction on which foods are covered and require disclosure. The vast majority of GE foods (by some estimates over 70%) are not whole foods, but highly processed foods with GE ingredients, like sodas and oils. Yet in the final rule USDA excluded these "highly refined" products, unless the GE material was "detectable."

"A disclosure law that exempts 70% of the foods it is supposed to disclose is not a meaningful disclosure law: it is a fraud and allows producers to keep their GMO ingredients secret," said Tara Cook Littman of Citizens for GMO Labeling, a plaintiff.

<u>Fourth</u>, the exclusive rules restrict retailers and producers from voluntarily providing more meaningful information to consumers, such as using the terms GE and GMO. The only voluntary labeling allowed is "derived from bioengineering" and only in certain circumstances. The federal law preempted state disclosure laws that used the normal GE/GMO terms and properly required the labeling of all GE foods, so voluntary additional disclosure under the federal rules is imperative.

"PCC believes that our members and shoppers have a right to transparency about the food they eat, and that retailers and manufacturers have a fundamental 1st Amendment right to provide truthful information to customers. The USDA rules unlawfully restrict that protected speech and do not provide the transparency on GMO foods that consumers deserve," said Aimee Simpson, Director of Advocacy & Product Sustainability for PCC Community Markets, a plaintiff.

The lawsuit seeks to have the court declare the regulations unlawful and nullify them, and then return the issue to USDA with orders to fix the unlawful portions of the rules.

The 2019 rules implement a 2016 federal law that for the first time required the labelling of GE foods. Congress passed the federal law after several states (Vermont, Connecticut, Maine) passed

GE labeling laws, with numerous other states poised to do the same. The labeling is required to be implemented by food manufacturers in January 2022.

###

About Center for Food Safety

CFS has been fighting for mandatory GE food labeling for <u>over two decades</u> at the federal and state levels, work that helped lead to the passage of the 2016 federal law.

Center for Food Safety's mission is to empower people, support farmers, and protect the earth from the harmful impacts of industrial agriculture. Through groundbreaking legal, scientific, and grassroots action, we protect and promote your right to safe food and the environment. Please join our nearly one million advocates across the country at www.centerforfoodsafety.org.

Twitter: @CFSTrueFood, @CFS_Press